

FISCAL NOTE

HB 3295 - SB 3278

March 13, 1998

SUMMARY OF BILL: Establishes a comprehensive urban growth planning process; revises annexation by ordinance laws; holds counties harmless for revenues in annexation actions; provides additional method of consolidation of county and city governments.

ESTIMATED FISCAL IMPACT:

Increase State Revenues -	Exceeds \$100,000
Increase State Expenditures -	Exceeds \$200,000 Recurring \$142,400 One-Time
Increase Local Govt. Revenues -	Exceeds \$100,000 / Permissive
Increase Local Govt. Expenditures -	Exceeds \$100,000 / Permissive
Decrease Local Govt. Revenues -	Exceeds \$100,000 / Permissive
Forgo Local Govt. Revenues -	Exceeds \$100,000 / Permissive
Decrease Local Govt. Expenditures -	Exceeds \$100,000 / Permissive

Assumes:

- Increase in state expenditures for computer system changes and two additional positions with related expenses in the Department of Revenue;
- Increase in state expenditures and a corresponding increase in state revenues for administrative law judge expenses, to the extent that plans are submitted to such judges and local governments reimburse the Secretary of State's office for their services;
- Increase in city revenues to the extent that annexations take place that would not have taken place in the absence of the bill and cities realize the growth;
- Increase county revenues to the extent that annexations take place that would not have taken place in the absence of the bill and counties retain revenues that they would lose in the absence of the bill;
- Foregone county revenues to the extent that annexations take place that would not have taken place in the absence of the bill and counties lose the revenue growth they would have collected had the annexation not taken place;
- Increase city and county expenditures for development of growth plans, including holding public hearings, and the funding of Joint Economic and Community Development Councils;
- Foregone city revenues to the extent that annexations take place that would not have taken place in the absence of the bill and cities do not collect base revenues (*annexation date revenue*) that they would have collected in the absence of this bill under current annexation law;
- Decreased county expenditures to the extent that annexations take place that would not have taken place in the absence of the bill and counties are not required to provide services to the same number of residents.

CERTIFICATION:

This is to duly certify that the information contained herein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "James A. Davenport". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first letters of the first and last names being capitalized and prominent.

James A. Davenport, Executive Director